HBG, PATENT

United States District Court Middle District of Pennsylvania (Harrisburg) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:11-cv-00437-WWC Internal Use Only

Smith v. Kongsberg Defense Corporation Assigned to: Honorable William W. Caldwell

Cause: 35:271 Patent Infringement

Date Filed: 03/08/2011
Jury Demand: Plaintiff
Nature of Suit: 830 Patent
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Dr. Thomas Smith

represented by David J. DeToffol

DeToffol & Associates 35th Floor 30 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 212-962-2220 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Kathryn L. Simpson

Mette, Evans & Woodside 3401 North Front Street P.O. Box 5950 Harrisburg, PA 17110-0950 717-232-5000

Email: klsimpson@mette.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Potent # 5,970,024 6,215,731

6, 621, 764

V.

Defendant

Kongsberg Defense Corporation

Date Filed	#	Docket Text	
03/08/2011	1	COMPLAINT against Kongsberg Defense Corporation (Filing fee \$350, Receipt Number 0314-2121485), filed by Thomas Smith. (Attachments: # 1 Civil Cover Sheet)(dc) (Entered: 03/08/2011)	
03/08/2011		Summons Issued as to Kongsberg Defense Corporation and provided to Attorney for service on Defendant(s). (dc) (Entered: 03/08/2011)	
03/08/2011	<u>2</u>	Letter from Clerk's Office to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks with copy of complaint and docket sheet. (dc) (Entered: 03/08/2011)	

Respectfully submitted,

METTE, EVANS & WOODSIDE

s/Kathryn L. Simpson

By:

KATHRYN L. SIMPSON, ESQUIRE Sup. Ct. I.D. No. 28960 3401 North Front Street P. O. Box 5950 Harrisburg, PA 17110-0950 (717) 232-5000 - Telephone (717) 236-1816 - Fax klsimpson@mette.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

DAVID J. DeTOFFOL, ESQUIRE DeTOFFOL & ASSOCIATES ATTORNEYS AT LAW 35th Floor 30 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 (212) 962-2220 - Telephone (212) 962-2221 - Fax david@ddtlaw.com

Date: March 8, 2011

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of mitiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
DR. THOMAS SI	ипн			KONGSBERG DEFENSE CORPORATION					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff York, PA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
								(a) Atterney's /Firm Name	Address and Telephone Number)
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Kathryn L. Simpson, Mette, Evans & Woodside 3401 N. Front Street, P.O. Box 5950, Harrisburg, PA 17110									
3401 N. Front Stre	et, P.O. Box 5950, Hams	sburg, PA 17110	-0950						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Box Only)		CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)					
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				n of This State					
☐ 2 U.S. Government	(4 Diversity		Citize	a of Another State	2. 2 Incorporated and P	rincipal Place O 5 O 5			
Defendant					of Business In A				
Citizen or Subject of a									
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Flace an "X" in One Box Only)									
	e e de la companie d								
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 1 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury -		0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug	 ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust			
☐ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice	I =	5 Drug Related Scizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce			
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment☐		365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	7 63	of Property 21 USC 881 O Liquor Laws	EEECOTO OFFICE CONTRACTOR				
& Enforcement of Judgment	Siender 🗅	368 Ashestos Personal	I 🗇 64	OR.R. & Truck	2 820 Copyrights 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations			
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Lisbility	Injury Product Liability		O Airline Regs. O Occupational	B 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit			
Student Loans	340 Marine P	ERSONAL PROPERT	ry	Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service			
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	O 345 Marine Product D Liability D			O Other	医主义对外认为人的证明的				
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal	0 71	G Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge			
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	0 72	Act O Labor/Mgmt, Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410			
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Product Liability	073	O Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	B90 Other Statutory Actions B91 Agricultural Acts			
☐ 196 Franchise	lajwy Vyje kariej – Sile ka	HEISONING HEITHGA	SE C 74		ENGLISH OF STREET	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act			
1 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐	510 Motions to Vacate	LT 79	O Other Labor Litigation Of Empl. Ret. Inc.	 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 	893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act			
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Sentence Unbeas Corpus:	J	Security Act	(1) 871 IRS—Third Party	■ 895 Freedom of Information			
240 Toets to Land	Accommodations D		7747 H	EMMORELENTIONS	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination			
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 445 Amer, w/Disabilities -		er 🗓 46	2 Naturalization Application		Under Equal Access			
	Employment O 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - O		(7 46	63 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		to Justice 950 Constitutionality of			
	Other	555 (11 3 01) COLUMNO	□ 46	55 Other Immigration	j	State Statutes			
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions					
511 Original ☐ 2 R		manded from Dellate Court		nanothe	ferred from 6 Multidista er district Litigation	Magisuate			
Proceeding S		•		· (speci	fy) al statutes nulcss diversity):	Judgment			
VIV. CLATICE ON A CEN	35 U.S.C. § 101 et	seq.: 35 U.S.C. §	\$ 271 et	t seq.					
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief describitori or cans					· ·			
VII. REQUESTED IN	Action for patent in CHECK IF THIS IS		()	EMAND 5	CHECK YES only	of demanded in complaint.			
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		In e	xcess of \$75,	000 JURY DEMAND:	: Ø Yes ○ No			
VIII. RELATED CAS		UDGE 1			DOCKET NUMBER				
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
03/08/2011 August 201									
FOR OPPICE USE ONLY									
	AMOUNT	APPLYING INP	/	, ndde	UL DAM	DGE			

OFFICE OF THE CLERK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



U.S. Courthouse 228 Wainut Street, Rm. 1060 P.O. Box 983 Harrisburg, PA 17108-0983

> (717) 221-3920 FAX (717) 221-3959

March 8, 2011

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Re: Dr. Thomas Smith v. Kongsberg Defense Corporation 1:11-CV-437

Dear Commissioner:

In compliance with 35 U.S.C. Sec. 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1116 enclosed is a copy of the docket entries and complaint which was filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania.

Sincerely yours,

Mary E. D'Andrea Clerk of Court

By: s/<u>Darlene F. Cramer</u> Deputy Clerk METTE, EVANS & WOODSIDE Kathryn Lease Simpson, Esq. (KLS) 3401 North Front Street Harrisburg, PA. 17110-0950 Telephone (717) 232-5000 klsimpson@mette.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DR. THOMAS SMITH,

Plaintiff, :

: CASE NO.

٧.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

KONGSBERG DEFENSE

CORPORATION,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Nature of the Action

1. This is an action by Plaintiff Dr. Thomas Smith for patent infringement against the defendant Kongsberg Defense Corporation.

The Parties

- 2. Plaintiff, Dr. Thomas Smith, is an individual residing in York County, Pennsylvania.
- 3. Defendant Kongsberg Defense Corporation ("Kongsberg") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with a registered office at 210 Industrial Park Road, Johnstown, PA 15904.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 4. This action arises under the Acts of Congress relating to patents, 35
 United States Code § 101 et seq., for damages and injunctive relief pursuant to 35
 United States Code § 271 et seq.
- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under provisions of Title 28 United States Code § 1338(a).
- 6. Venue is proper within this District under the provisions of Title 28
 United States Code § 1391 (b)(2) and (c) in that a substantial part of the intellectual property that is the subject of this action is situated in this District.

Factual Background

- 7. Plaintiff has a doctorate in electrical engineering and is a recognized consultant engaged in the fields of, among others, the design, testing and operation of weapon machinery and detection systems.
- 8. The patents Plaintiff owns which form the basis for this action relate to gunfire detection and countermeasure weapon machinery and systems.
- 9. Plaintiff was the lead acoustics engineer on the first project team to successfully apply the principles of acoustics to design the first helicopter mounted acoustic gunfire detector, tested and utilized during hostile warfare in Vietnam from 1966 to 1969.
- 10. Among the honors bestowed upon Plaintiff for his work in the field of military weapon detection systems is the Johns Hopkins University Director of Applied Physics Commendation for contribution to weapon systems.
- 11. In 1995 Plaintiff founded New Century Engineering Inc., a Delaware corporation.
- 12. At that time he conceived the pioneering concept of sensor fusion, among the diverse technologies of acoustic and optics in the field of weapon machinery and systems.

- 13. In 1998, Plaintiff was bestowed with and quality award and commendation on topic from the engineering department of United Defense Industries of York, Pennsylvania, now named BAE Systems.
- 14. In essence, while acoustic sensing is accurate in detecting gunfire and the region of its origin, its ability to pinpoint in that region is limited. And while optical sensing is more prone to false alarms, and limited in compass, it excels in pinpoint precision.
- 15. The synergy of Plaintiff's sensor fusion innovation has been to eliminate the erstwhile disadvantages of the discrete systems and attain their combined benefits.
- 16. On October 19, 1999, Plaintiff's ingenuity was awarded with his first United States patent for acoustic and optical sensor fusion in the field, as well as two subsequent continuations in part patents, discussed more fully in the Counts below.
- 17. Upon information and belief, Defendant Kongsberg is making, offering for sale, and selling here in the United States gunfire detection and countermeasure weapon machinery and systems utilizing and established upon acoustic and optic sensor fusion, under the moniker CROWS II as well as others.

COUNT I Patent Infringement of Patent 5,970,024

- 18. The averments in paragraphs 1 through 17 are incorporated.
- 19. On October 19, 1999, United States Letters Patent 5,970,024 (" '024 Patent") entitled "Acusto-Optic Weapon Location System and Method" was duly and legally issued to plaintiff.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant has directly, indirectly, contributed and/or by inducement infringed the claims and patent rights of the '024 Patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by way of Defendant's making, offering for sale and selling the CROWS II and comparable differently named weapon systems.
- 21. Defendant's infringement of the said patent has injured and caused damage to Plaintiff, and will cause ongoing and added damages unless Defendant is enjoined from infringing the '024 Patent.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had actual knowledge through its component suppliers and otherwise of the '024 Patent, and has willfully, deliberately and intentionally infringed said patent.

COUNT II Patent Infringement of Patent 6,215,731

- 23. The averments contained in paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated.
- 24. On April 14, 1999 United States Letters Patent 6,215,731 (" '731 Patent") also entitled "Acusto-Optic Weapon Location System and Method" was duly and legally issued to Plaintiff.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant has directly, indirectly, contributed and/or by inducement infringed the claims and patent rights of the '731 Patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by way of Defendant's making, offering for sale and selling the CROWS II and comparable differently named weapon systems.
- 26. Defendant's infringement of the said patent has injured and caused damage to Plaintiff, and will cause ongoing and added damages unless Defendant is enjoined from infringing the Patent.
- 27. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had actual knowledge through its component suppliers and otherwise of the '731 Patent, and has willfully, deliberately and intentionally infringed said patent.

COUNT III Patent Infringement of Patent 6,621,764

- 28. The averments contained in paragraphs 1 through 27 are incorporated.
- 29. On September 16, 2003 United States Letters Patent 6,621,764 (" '764 Patent") entitled "Weapon Location By Acusto-Optic Sensor Fusion" was duly and legally issued to the Plaintiff.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant has directly, indirectly, contributed and/or by inducement infringed the claims and patent rights of the '764 Patent in this District and elsewhere in the United States, by way of Defendant's making, offering for sale and selling the CROWS II and comparable differently named weapon systems.
- 31. Defendant's infringement of the said patent has injured and caused damage to Plaintiff, and will cause ongoing and added damages unless this defendant is enjoined from infringing the '764 Patent.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had actual knowledge through its component suppliers and otherwise of the '764 Patent, and has willfully, deliberately and intentionally infringed said patent.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment that:

- 1. Defendant has directly, indirectly, contributed and/or by inducement infringed United States Letters Patent Nos. 5,970,024, 6,215,731, and 6,621,764.
- 2. Defendant and its respective agents, servants, officers, directors, employees and all persons acting in concert with them, directly or indirectly, is/are enjoined from infringing, inducing others to infringe, or contributing to the infringement of United States Letters Patent Nos. 5,970,024, 6,215,731, and 6,621,764.
- 3. Defendant is ordered to account for and pay Plaintiff the damages that Plaintiff is entitled as a consequence of the infringement;
- 4. Treble damages be awarded for the willful, deliberate and intentional infringement of the defendant as alleged in the Complaint;
- 5. Plaintiff be awarded costs and attorneys' fees herein in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- 6. Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.